

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/655,532	09/04/2003	Balbir S. Brar	SABRA.001CP1	5571	
20995	7590 06/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE M	ARTENS OLSON &	GHERBI, SUZI	GHERBI, SUZETTE JAIME J		
FOURTEENT			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	92614		3738		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SP		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
- Advisory Action	10/655,532	BRAR ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Suzette J Gherbi	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress		
THE REPLY FILED 31 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	•	- ·			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba îdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	•	in the final rejection, ut-	sichover is later. In		
b) Mark The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITTWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);			
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		•			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	•	timely filed amonday	ant consoling the		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	nowable il submitted in a separate,	umery med amendme	ant canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		II be entered and an o	explanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		•		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		

U.S. Patent and Plagement Office
PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action B

13. Other: ____.

See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant continues to debate the prior art of record which the examiner considers applicable as the claims are currenlty written and the comments would require further re-evaluation..